

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/084,245	02/27/2002	Michael J. Kennedy	100/14910	2024
21569	7590 08/17/2005		EXAM	INER
CALIPER LIFE SCIENCES, INC. 605 FAIRCHILD DRIVE			NAGPAUL, JYOTI	
	VIEW, CA 94043-2234		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1743	
			DATE MAILED: 08/17/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/084,245	KENNEDY ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jyoti Nagpaul	1743			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7/13/05.					
•	•				
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 25-38 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 25-38 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 08/19/02.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the first microfluidic chip and second microfluidic chip as recited in claim 36 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show "a second top unit arranged to be removably attached to the base unit, thesecond top unit

being exchangeable with the first top unit, the second top unit having aplurality of cavities arranged to align with a plurality of wells in a second microfluidic chip when the second microfluidic chip is positioned on the base unit and covered by the second top unit, at least one of the second top unit cavities including a pressure port, the configuration of the second module being at least partially different from the configuration of the first module', and a coupling element arranged to couple one of the first top unit and the second top unit with a pressurized fluid source" as described in the specification and recited in Claim 36. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner.

Application/Control Number: 10/084,245 Page 4

Art Unit: 1743

the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 36-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. With respect to claims 36-38, it is extremely unclear and vague as how a second top unit in relationship to the first top unit how they are both located on the same base unit as recited in "a second top unit arranged to be removably attached to the base unit". It is also unclear what the applicant means by "the second top unit being exchangeable with the first top unit".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 25-30 and 32-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Unno (US 6495104).

Unno teaches a microfluidic device. The device comprises of a base unit (330), a top unit/lid (324). The top unit/lid arranged to be removably attached to the base unit.

Application/Control Number: 10/084,245

Art Unit: 1743

(See Figure 3B) The top unit/lid (324) includes an exchangeable first module (328) having a plurality of cavities/electrodes (324) arranged to align with a plurality of wells/reservoirs (304) in a first microfluidic chip (300) is positioned on the base unit (330) and covered by the top unit, at least one of the first module cavities including a pressure port. Unno recites, "a lid 324 is rotatably attached to the instrument 320. The underside of the lid 326 typically includes a number of interface elements for controlling the functioning of the device. For example, as shown, a plurality of electrodes 328 are provided attached to the underside 326 of the lid 324. These electrodes 328 rotate into communication with fluids in the reservoirs 304 in the body structure of device 300. These electrodes 328 that are operably coupled to power sources (not shown) within the instrument 320, provide actuation of material movement within the channels of the device 300 via electrokinetic forces. Although shown as electrodes 328, other interfaces are optionally or additionally provided in the lid. For example, in certain preferred aspects, one or more vacuum or pressure ports are provided in the lid with appropriate connectors for interfacing with one or more reservoirs 304 of the device 300, in order to provide material movement by pressure induced flow. These vacuum or pressure ports are operably coupled to vacuum or pressure pumps disposed within the instrument 320. As shown, at least a portion of the lid 324 is removable and replaceable, in order to reconfigure the instrument to interface with a wide range of different devices. In particular, interface cassette 324a, which includes the array of electrodes 328, is removable from lid 324, and a different cassette may be inserted in its place." (See Col. 5, Lines 58-67- Col 6, Lines 1-15) Unno further discloses the device

may be fabricated as an aggregation of different parts, e.g., capillaries/sipper, reaction chambers. (Col. 3, Lines 57-58)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 9. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

10. Claim 31 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Unno in view of Schwartz (US 5547555).

Refer above for the teachings of Unno.

Unno fails to teach a pressure block and the pressure pad.

Schwartz teaches a cartridge of reservoirs for detecting and quantifying an analyte in a sample of fluid. The cartridge comprising of a cover unit (77), a base unit (81), flat electrode assembly/pressure block (10) and a pressure pad (30). The pressure pad made from polyethylene foam. (See Col. 11, Lines 1-2)

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in this art at the time of the invention by applicant to provide a pressure block and a pressure pad such that the microfluidic device is positioned on the pressure block and the pressure block is positioned on the resilient pressure pad in order to facilitate the function of easy removal of the microfluidic chip and also increase the efficiency of movement of fluid through the microfluidic chip.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jyoti Nagpaul whose telephone number is 571-272-1273. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday (8:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 571-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/084,245

Art Unit: 1743

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JN

| Supervisory Patent Examiner | Technology Center 1700